



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/712,286		11/15/2000	Hongyong Zhang	0756-2224	4444	
31780	7590	12/08/2003	·	EXAMINER		
ERIC ROB	INSON			MUNSON	GENE M	
PMB 955 21010 SOU	THBAN	K ST.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
POTOMAC	FALLS.	, VA 20165		2811		
•				DATE MAILED: 12/08/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Group Art Unit  SON  Applicant(s)  Group Art Unit  2811	
Office Action Summany	09/7/2,286	H,		
Office Action Summary	Examiner G. Ma	NSON	Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet be	neath the c	orrespondence addre	sş–
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE THREE	_ MONTH(	S) FROM THE MAILING	G'DATI
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defi</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the remadjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	a reply within the statutory mininault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from statute, cause the application to	mum of thirty ( m the mailing of become ABA	30) days will be considered date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	l timely.
Status				
☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ber, 14 November	2003	***	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL.				
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>	ept for formal matters, <b>pros</b> 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as	to the merits is close	<b>d</b> in
Disposition of Claims				
☑ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-9, 56-71		is/are ı	pending in the application	on.
Of the above claim(s)	-	is/are v	withdrawn from conside	eration
□ Claim(s)				), QUOI 1.
☑ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-9, 56-7/				
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)			•	ection
Application Papers		require		, ouo
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗆	disapprov	ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner	•		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)		•		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	v under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	(4)	(-).		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received.			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been			·	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer				
in this national stage application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	))		
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)   Inte	erview Sumr	nary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			nal Patent Application,	PT(\_1/

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Other \_

Page 2

Serial Number: 09/712,286

Art Unit: 2811

Examination is continued under 37 CFR 1.114.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 56, 57, 59-61, 63-65, 67-69 and 71 are rejected as double patenting of the non-statutory type over claims of the Zhang et al patent No. 6,194,740, which issued from parent application, considered together with Yamamoto et al. See MPEP 804. To use optical sensors of the patent claims in a line image sensor, it would have been obvious to use an arrangement with transistors as in Yamamoto et al (Figure 3), with the optical sensors as the light detection elements, in order to achieve a line image sensor.

Claims 3, 8, 58, 62, 66 and 70 are rejected as double patenting of the non-statutory type over claims of the Zhang et al patent No. 6,194,740, and Yamamoto et al., as in the above rejection, further considered together with Ozawa. It would have been obvious to use a shift register as in Ozawa (Figure 1A) to implement a pulse generating circuit 106 as in Yamamoto et al (Figure 3).

Claims 1-4, 56-59 and 64-67 are rejected as double patenting of the non-statutory type over claims of the Zhang et al patent No. 6,194,740 considered together with Morozumi. To use optical sensors of the patent claims in an area image sensor, it would have been obvious to use an arrangement with transistors with rows and columns as in Morozumi (Figure 1), with an optical sensor connected to a transistor as is a light element 18 (Figure 3), in order to achieve an area image sensor. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to use a parallel capacitor (Figure 12) in order to store charge generated in an optical sensor (claims 1, 56).

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple

Serial Number: 09/712,286 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The references are of record.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to G. Munson at telephone number (703) 308-4925 or 0956.

Munson

12/04/03

GENE M. MUNSON EXAMINER

Gene M. Thurson

GROUP ART UNIT 2851